

Debate & Analysis

GP workload:

time for a rethink of the generalist model of care to promote retention

AQ1: this article needs to fit onto 2 pages so the references will be published online only AQ2: there may be room for a couple of headings -please supply

Much has been written in recent months about the 'crisis' in general practice; a crisis that has been linked to difficulties in recruiting to and retaining the workforce, changing working patterns, increasing care demands, and bureaucracy in the system of care. The debate has rippled through the press,^{1,2} been the subject of discussion at conferences and in the pages of journals,³ as well as prompting a number of reviews.⁴⁻⁶ While rarely out of the headlines or journals, it would seem that there is more 'heat than light' in identifying a way forward. This lack of clarity prompted us to seek the experiences of local GPs [AQ3: 'local' ok? changed from 'in the locality'; Can you say what locality - Should it be GPs in the Wessex area?], and their views of working in general practice today, and to ask them to look ahead to the future. This article is based on an analysis of data gathered in the locality [AQ4: again, where?] by a survey of GPs ($n = 1445$) and interview of a purposive 'key informant' sample of seven,⁷ reflecting different ages, career stages, and role types. The latter were recruited by invitation through local GP and practice networks, and those participating consented to undertake semi-structured telephone interviews. Both datasets were thematically analysed, and a fuller description of this work may be found elsewhere.⁸

A recent article in *BJGP* by Abbt and Alderson³ argues '[I]t's not workload', however the views expressed by our informants would challenge this. They described today's general practice working environment as being very different to that of some 20 or 30 years ago. The lack of a well-defined career structure, alongside other influences, was seen as being a significant factor in GPs no longer seeking to be partners, especially those in the early stages of a career. This seems to have arisen as a result of the extended responsibilities that come with the role (such as employing staff, working with clinical care groups), the imminent end of a reward for seniority and an inflexible workforce structure that does not allow senior GPs to move into roles which capitalise on their skills and experience:

'[T]here is no change in ... workload from the first day they start until they leave.'

"AQ5: please select a quote from the text to go here."▲

Our responders reported having formed management or 'exit' strategies to ameliorate their individual situations: younger GPs were planning to reduce sessions or move abroad; mid-career GPs too were looking to reducing sessions and/or move into salaried posts; 'twilight career' GPs and partners reported reducing sessions, planning to retire earlier, or move into alternative roles; salaried GPs reported considering leaving general practice or reducing clinical sessions; and locums reported considering leaving the profession, emigrating or moving into alternative, portfolio roles.⁸ All these strategies have the potential to impact negatively on the workforce, and the current exodus of senior GPs from the profession through early retirement may be seen as the start of these strategies being put into practice.⁹

Our informants spoke of the workload not only increasing, but being 'unboundaried': *'I work very long hours, very intense work, not much in the way of breaks or down time. It's solid seeing patients and masses of paperwork and then outside of work I have to log on to catch up with paperwork'*. Informants not only described the clinical administrative work extending from face-to-face consultations, but also that arising from visits, telephone consultations, and triaging being layered on top. They described a rising tide of 'invisible' paperwork from within and without the NHS system, including hospital clinics, out-of-hours, NHS 111, blood tests, radiological investigation results, and insurance

reports, all of which is set within a culture of target management and reporting,¹⁰ high patient expectation, and increased risk of litigation. Thus, it is not only the workload that has become 'unboundaried,' but also the role itself in terms of scope, which sees the GP act not only as clinician, but also gatekeeper, and manager of care, bearing responsibility for interacting with other services and structures — being 'all things to all people'.¹¹ As a whole, this was viewed as eroding professional autonomy, displacing the patient at the centre of care and leaving GPs feeling overwhelmed:

'We are no longer allowed the freedom to make professional decisions in the interest of the community we serve.'

Informants were asked about their views on the present structure of primary care and how they saw it evolving. They acknowledged that a 'one size fits all' or '10 minutes for all' approach was not working, and that there was a need for clinical workload differentiation to take account, not only of the differing needs of patients, but also the differing needs of GPs:

'If you have been a GP for 30 years you are able and extremely competent to deal with complicated patients' needs, who need an experienced GP to manage things.'

This reflects current literature, which argues that the approach to delivering care and the general practice business

"AQ6: please select a quote from the text to go here."▲

Box 1. Re-modelled primary care

| | Ambulatory | Non-ambulatory |
|----------------|--|--|
| Acute | Acute care centre staffed by local GPs in rotation | Community doctor, out of hours, paramedic which may suit newly qualified GPs [AQ7: does this refer to all the above or just 'paramedic'? |
| Chronic | The life-force of general practice (minus acute and home visits) allowing for extended appointment times | Patients (house-bound or in residential homes) cared for by experienced community GPs, currently a health group which is poorly managed |

model are outdated.^{12–14} Smith *et al*,¹² in their review, note 'new models of care organisation are emerging organically in some areas to meet the challenges facing primary care'. Informants were aware of pockets of innovation and development in the locality, but at the same time conscious of the challenges of such change. A key one of these challenges was seen to be the funding for general practice; while top down funding in the UK for general practice has shown a small increase between 2009 and 2014,¹⁵ the sustained increase in bottom up, practice-level costs and continued reduction in the share of NHS expenditure received by general practice in Great Britain from 10.3% in 2004–2005 to 8.4% in 2011–2012, taking into account reimbursement for costs of drugs and dispensing fees) sees resourcing for general practice failing to keep up with service delivery costs.¹⁶ Read in the context of a falling number of clinicians, increasing workload and role complexity, it is little wonder the impact is being felt in the consulting rooms, in terms of access, quality of care and motivation to innovate. However, innovating through recognising different areas of care provides scope to manage each in different ways: primary health care lends itself to being divided into four main care groups: acute and chronic conditions, which are subdivided on the basis of whether the patient is mobile (ambulatory and non-ambulatory). Each of these types of care offers the opportunity to provide services in different ways, by different groups of health care practitioners, depending on demand and supply in a locality; further, it allows the GP workforce to be allocated to each sector depending on experience and career preferences (Box 1).

Thus, by delegating acute care (ambulatory and non-ambulatory) to other providers, time could be freed up for complex chronic care to be managed in longer appointment times. This approach re-establishes boundaries that are dependent on the nature of the work and relieves the constant pressure of time. On stepping back from partnership responsibilities senior GPs could direct

their skills and experience to more complex care such as chronic health conditions. Similarly general practice may be made more attractive for younger GPs who may not want to do '4 or 5 days of solid general practice' but rather may prefer to focus on acute care or a mix of clinical and non-clinical activities. The options outlined in Box 1 would not be narrow, limiting career silos, but ones that would allow GPs to move between care groups depending on personal choice at different times in their careers, thereby allowing them to maintain their generalist role, but 'boundarise' the workload and role into manageable sectors. Such organisational change is being supported by monies from the 'Better Care Fund' (previously the Integration Transformation Fund)¹⁷ and 'Prime Minister's Challenge' fund,¹⁸ both of which aim to facilitate the development of healthcare models that differ from current approaches. Many CCG areas already have groups of practices working together to oversee the governance of the allocated funds. Such networks can provide the governance and professional development structures for the groups of health professionals needed and oversee the mentoring necessary of early years GPs by those with more experience.

Informants thought that for a career in general practice to become attractive again there should be an emphasis on developing portfolio roles and interests (such as education/training, mentoring, special interest, appraising, research) to sit alongside clinical work. Portfolio roles are particularly attractive to 'twilight' GPs as they allow flexibility to reduce workload in specific areas, and to open up roles in others, for instance experienced GPs providing ambulatory or non-ambulatory chronic care could be mentors to newly qualified GPs in their practice of acute care.

This re-envisioned model of care provides a way forward to both promote the retention of experienced GPs to the workforce and improve care provision. It would encompass a broader clinical team, make general practice more appealing with a clearer career structure and support

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

Johnny Lyon-Maris

GP Education Unit, Mailpoint 10, University Hospital Southampton SO16 6YD, UK.

E-mail: Johnny.Lyon-Maris@nhs.net

the development of alternative roles to complement clinical practice. Ultimately, patient care benefits from the skills and experience of established GPs, as well as offering an attractive option for those at the beginning of their career whose enthusiasm and vision may be directed towards acute care. It also offers re-entry points for those looking to make a return to work or even a move into general practice.

Johnny Lyon-Maris,

Associate Dean for GP Education, Health Education Wessex and Visiting Professor, The University of Winchester, Winchester.

Laura Edwards,

Medical Director, Wessex LMCs, [AQ8: Location?]

Samantha Scallan,

Wessex School of General Practice Educational Research Lead, GP Education Unit, Southampton and Senior Lecturer in Medical Education, The University of Winchester, Winchester.

Rachel Locke,

Senior Research Officer, The University of Winchester, Winchester.

Funding

The University of Winchester was jointly funded by Wessex LMCs and the GP Education Unit, Southampton to undertake this work.

Ethical approval

The work received ethical approval from the Faculty of Education Health and Social Care's Research and Knowledge Exchange Ethics Committee, at The University of Winchester.

Provenance

Freely submitted; not externally peer reviewed.

Competing interests

The authors have declared no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge and thank the GPs who gave their time to be interviewed.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp15XXXXXX

REFERENCES

1. Merion Thomas, J. Why I fear GPs are part of the NHS's problem, not the solution. *Daily Mail* 2014; **19 Nov**: <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-2840252/Why-fear-GPs-NHS-s-problem-not-solution-surgeon-J-MEIRION-THOMAS.html> [accessed 24 August 2015]
2. Jarvis S. [audio interview by Humphries J.] Why don't medical students want to become GPs anymore? Today. Radio 4. 30 January 2015. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p02hy4t8> [accessed 24 August 2015]
3. Abbt N, Alderson S. Why doesn't anyone want to be a GP: and what can we do about it? *Br J Gen Pract* 2014; **64**: 579
4. Centre for Workforce Intelligence (CfWI). *In-depth review of the general practitioner workforce (Final Report)*. London: CfWI, 2014.
5. Dayan M, Arora S, Rosen R, Curry N. *Is general practice in crisis?* (Policy Briefing #1) London: Nuffield Trust, 2014.
6. Appleby J. Is general practice in trouble? *BMJ* 2014; **349**: g6814.
7. Marshall MN. The key informant technique. *Fam Pract* 1996; **13**(1): 92-97
8. Locke R, Scallan S, Edwards L, Lyon-Maris J. Local workforce issues in general practice: the way ahead. Winchester: The University of Winchester, 2015.
9. Wessex LMC. GP Recruitment Crisis [survey summary]. <https://www.wessexlmcs.com/gprecruitmentcrisis> [accessed 24 August 2015]
10. Gregory S. William Pickles Lecture 2014. *Cum Scientia Caritas* — compassion with knowledge. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015; **65**(630): 36-37.
11. Glasspool J. GP Recruitment and retention. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015; **65**(632): 116.
12. Smith J, Holder H, Edwards N, et al. *Securing the future of general practice: new models of primary care*. London: Nuffield Trust/The King's Fund, 2013.
13. Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP). *The 2022 GP. A Vision for General Practice in the future NHS*. London: RCGP, 2013.
14. NHS England. *Five Year Forward View*. London: NHS England, 2014.
15. Health and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC). *Investment in general practice 2009/10 to 2013/14: England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland*. Leeds: Information Centre, 2014.
16. Deloitte. *Under pressure: the funding of patient care in general practice*. London: Deloitte, 2014. http://www.rcgp.org.uk/campaign-home/-/media/Files/PPF/Deloitte%20Report_Under%20Pressure.ashx [accessed 24 August]
17. NHS England. *Better Care Fund Planning*. London: NHS England, <http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/part-rel/transformation-fund/bcf-plan/> [accessed 24 August 2015].
18. NHS England. *Prime Minister's Challenge Fund*. <http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/qual-clin-lead/calltoaction/pm-ext-access/> [accessed 24 August 2015].